

Preliminaries to the short course in Stable Homological Algebra

By Alex Martsinkovsky

April 2014

1 The stable category of a ring

Let Λ be a ring and $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$ the category of (left) Λ -modules. For Λ -modules M and N , define $P(M, N)$ as the set of all homomorphisms that can be factored through a projective module.

Exercise 1. Prove that $P(M, N)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)$.

Exercise 2. Prove that $P(M, N)$ is an ideal in $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$, i.e. for any $f \in P(M, N)$ the morphisms gf and fh are also in $P(M, N)$ whenever they make sense.

Definition 1. The stable category $\underline{\Lambda\text{-Mod}}$ of Λ has the same objects as $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$. Define the morphisms $\underline{(M, N)}$ from M to N by

$$\underline{(M, N)} := \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N) / P(M, N)$$

Define the composition of classes as the class of the composition of representatives, and define the identity morphisms as the classes of the identity homomorphisms.

Exercise 3. Check that $\underline{\Lambda\text{-Mod}}$ is indeed a category.

Exercise 4. Prove that the biproduct in $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$ induces a biproduct in $\underline{\Lambda\text{-Mod}}$, which makes $\underline{\Lambda\text{-Mod}}$ an additive category.

Exercise 5. Let $Q : \Lambda\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\Lambda\text{-Mod}}$ be a quotient functor, sending an object to itself, and a morphism to its class. Prove that Q is an additive functor.

Notation. Let \underline{f} denote the class in $\underline{\Lambda\text{-Mod}}$ of a homomorphism f .

Now let's look at $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$ in more detail.

Question 1. Given a homomorphism f , when is \underline{f} a zero morphism in $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$?

Answer. Precisely when f factors through a projective module. This is what the definition says.

Question 2. Given a module M , when is M isomorphic to a zero object in $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$?

Answer. Precisely when M is projective. Indeed, M is isomorphic to a zero object in the stable category if and only if $\underline{1}_M = \underline{0}_M$. If M is projective, then clearly $\underline{1}_M = \underline{0}_M$. Conversely, if $\underline{1}_M = \underline{0}_M$, then the identity map on M factors through a projective, which makes M a direct summand of a projective. Hence M is projective.

Question 3. Given a homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, when is \underline{f} an isomorphism in $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$?

Answer (Heller). If and only if there are projective modules P and Q and an isomorphism $M \oplus P \rightarrow N \oplus Q$ in $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$ with matrix $\begin{bmatrix} f & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. The “if” part follows easily from the answer to Question 2. Now suppose \underline{f} is an isomorphism. Then there is a homomorphism $g : N \rightarrow M$ such that $\underline{f} \underline{g} = \underline{1}_N$ and $\underline{g} \underline{f} = \underline{1}_M$. The first equality means that there is a projective P and homomorphisms $h : N \rightarrow P$ and $k : P \rightarrow N$ such that $fg + kh = 1_N$, i.e. the homomorphism $M \oplus P \xrightarrow{f \perp k} N$ is a retraction with splitting $N \xrightarrow{g \top h} M \oplus P$. Thus we have a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M \oplus P \xrightarrow{f \perp k} N \rightarrow 0,$$

and we would be done if we show that Q is projective. Apply the additive functor $(-, Q)$ to this sequence. Since additive functors preserve split exact sequences, the resulting sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (N, Q) \rightarrow (M \oplus P, Q) \rightarrow (Q, Q) \rightarrow 0$$

is still exact (in the category of abelian groups). Since \underline{f} is an isomorphism and P is a zero object in the stable category, the map $(N, Q) \rightarrow (M \oplus P, Q)$ is an isomorphism, which implies that $(Q, Q) = 0$, i.e. $\underline{1}_Q = \underline{0}_Q$, and therefore Q is projective. \square

Next, we shall see that for any module M , the isomorphism type of the syzygy module ΩM of M in the stable category is uniquely determined. First, we need

Lemma 1 (Schanuel). Let $0 \rightarrow \Omega M \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow \Omega' M \rightarrow P' \xrightarrow{\pi'} M \rightarrow 0$ be exact sequences with P and P' projective. Then $\Omega M \oplus P' \simeq \Omega' M \oplus P$.

Proof. Take the pull-back of (π, π') :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \Omega' M & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega' M & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega M & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & P' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega M & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Then both the middle row and the middle column split. Thus $\Omega M \oplus P' \simeq X \simeq \Omega' M \oplus P$ \square

Corollary 2. ΩM is isomorphic to $\Omega' M$ in the stable category. \square

Our next goal is to show that the operation Ω gives rise to an endofunctor on $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$. For each Λ -module M , choose and fix a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega M \rightarrow P_M \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with P_M projective. Also, for each homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, choose and fix $f_0 : P_M \rightarrow P_N$ and $\Omega f : \Omega M \rightarrow \Omega N$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega M & \xrightarrow{\iota_M} & P_M & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \Omega f & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega N & \xrightarrow{\iota_N} & P_N & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} & N \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

commute.

Exercise 6. Suppose $f : M \rightarrow N$ factors through a projective and $g : P \rightarrow N$ is an epimorphism with P projective. Show that f lifts over g .

Lemma 3. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ factors through a projective, then $\underline{\Omega} f = 0$.

Proof. By Ex. ??, f lifts over the epimorphism $P_N \xrightarrow{\pi_N} N$: $f = \pi_N t$. By the universal property of the kernel, there is $s : P_M \rightarrow \Omega N$ such that $f_0 - t\pi_M = \iota_N s$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega M & \xrightarrow{\iota_M} & P_M & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \Omega f & \swarrow s & \downarrow f_0 & \swarrow t & \downarrow f & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega N & \xrightarrow{\iota_N} & P_N & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} & N & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Now $\iota_N s \iota_M = (f_0 - t\pi_M)\iota_M = f_0 \iota_M = \iota_N \Omega f$, and, since ι_N is a monomorphism, $\Omega f = s \iota_M$, showing that Ωf factors through a projective. \square

Lemma 4. For any homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\underline{\Omega f}$ does not depend on the choice of f_0 .

Proof. Take two liftings of f and look at their difference. This is a lifting of the zero map. By the preceding lemma the classes of the two liftings coincide. \square

Combining the last two lemmas, we have

Corollary 5. $\Omega : (\underline{M}, \underline{N}) \rightarrow (\underline{\Omega M}, \underline{\Omega N}) : \underline{f} \mapsto \underline{\Omega f}$ is a well-defined map. \square

Proposition 6. With the above fixed choices of resolutions and liftings, Ω is an endofunctor on $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$.

Proof. First, we show that Ω preserves the identity maps. Indeed, since both $1_{\Omega M}$ and $\Omega(1_M)$ are liftings of 1_M , we have, by Lemma ??, that $\underline{1_{\Omega M}} = \underline{\Omega(1_M)}$, the latter being $\underline{\Omega(\underline{1_M})}$ by definition (see Corollary ??).

Next, we need to show that Ω preserves composition. But, for any composition fg , $\Omega(fg)$ and $\Omega(f)\Omega(g)$ are both liftings of fg . By Lemma ??, $\underline{\Omega(fg)} = \underline{\Omega(f)\Omega(g)}$, the latter being $\underline{\Omega(f)} \underline{\Omega(g)}$ by the definition of the composition in $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$. \square

We will need another result,

Lemma 7. Let \mathbb{K} and \mathbb{L} be homotopy equivalent complexes of projectives, and $\tau_i \mathbb{K}$ and $\tau_i \mathbb{L}$ the truncations at degree i . Then $H_i(\tau_i \mathbb{K})$ and $H_i(\tau_i \mathbb{L})$ are isomorphic in the stable category.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{K} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta} \end{matrix} \mathbb{L}$ be mutually inverse homotopy isomorphisms. Then $1_{\mathbb{K}} - \beta\alpha$ is 0-homotopic, with homotopy, say, s . We then have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & K_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & K_i & \longrightarrow & K_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow \alpha_{i+1} & & \downarrow \alpha_i & & \downarrow \alpha_{i-1} & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & L_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & L_i & \longrightarrow & L_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow \beta_{i+1} & & \downarrow \beta_i & & \downarrow \beta_{i-1} & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & K_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & K_i & \longrightarrow & K_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & & & \downarrow \pi_i & & \downarrow \pi_i & & \\
 & & & & H_i(\tau_i \mathbb{K}) & & H_i(\tau_i \mathbb{K}) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha} & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta} & & \\
 & & & & H_i(\tau_i \mathbb{L}) & & H_i(\tau_i \mathbb{L}) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta} & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha} & & \\
 & & & & H_i(\tau_i \mathbb{K}) & & H_i(\tau_i \mathbb{K}) & &
 \end{array}$$

$\begin{matrix} \nearrow \pi_i & \searrow \pi_i \\ \nearrow \pi_i & \searrow \pi_i \end{matrix}$

where $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are induced by (α_i, α_{i+1}) and (β_i, β_{i+1}) . Notice that $(1 - \tilde{\beta}\tilde{\alpha})\pi_i = (\pi_i s_{i-1} \iota_i)\pi_i$. Since π_i is an epimorphism, $1 - \tilde{\beta}\tilde{\alpha} = \pi_i s_{i-1} \iota_i$, and therefore $\underline{1} = \underline{\tilde{\beta}} \underline{\tilde{\alpha}}$ in the stable category. Likewise, $1 = \underline{\tilde{\beta}} \underline{\tilde{\alpha}}$. \square